

SUPPLEMENT DATED 16 MARCH 2018 TO THE BASE PROSPECTUS DATED 30 MAY 2017 (AS PREVIOUSLY SUPPLEMENTED ON 1 AUGUST 2017, 15 NOVEMBER 2017 AND 23 JANUARY 2018).



REPSOL INTERNATIONAL FINANCE B.V.

(A private company with limited liability incorporated under the laws of The Netherlands and having its statutory seat (statutaire zetel) in The Hague)

EURO 10,000,000,000

Guaranteed Euro Medium Term Note Programme

Guaranteed by

REPSOL, S.A.

(A sociedad anónima organised under the laws of the Kingdom of Spain)

This supplement (the **Supplement**) to the base prospectus dated 30 May 2017 as supplemented on 1 August 2017, 15 November 2017 and 23 January 2018 (the **Base Prospectus**), constitutes a supplement, for the purposes of Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive as implemented by Article 13 of Chapter 1 of Part II of the *loi relative aux prospectus pour valeurs mobilières du 10 juillet 2005* (the Luxembourg law on prospectuses for securities of 10 July 2005), as amended by the Luxembourg law of 3 July 2012 (the **Luxembourg Act**), to the Base Prospectus and is prepared in connection with the Euro 10,000,000,000 Guaranteed Euro Medium Term Note Programme (the **Programme**) established by Repsol International Finance B.V. (the **Issuer**) and guaranteed by Repsol, S.A. (the **Guarantor**). Terms defined in the Base Prospectus have the same meaning when used in this Supplement.

This Supplement is supplemental to, and should be read in conjunction with the Base Prospectus and prior Supplement issued by the Issuer and the Guarantor.

Each of the Issuer and the Guarantor accepts responsibility for the information contained in this Supplement and declares that, having taken all reasonable care to ensure that such is the case, the information contained in this Supplement is, to the best of its knowledge, in accordance with the facts and contains no omission likely to affect its import. The information incorporated by reference to the Base Prospectus by virtue of this Supplement has been translated from the original Spanish.

The Dealers, the Trustee and the Arranger have not separately verified the information contained in the Base Prospectus, as supplemented by this Supplement. None of the Dealers or the Arranger or the Trustee makes any representation, express or implied, or accepts any responsibility, with respect to the accuracy or completeness of any of the information in the Base Prospectus, as supplemented by this Supplement.

Annual Reports 2017 and Regulatory Announcements

On 28 February 2018, the Guarantor filed its audited Annual Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2017, including the notes to such financial statements and the auditor's report, the Consolidated Management Report 2017 and the Annual Report on Corporate Governance of Repsol, S.A. with the Spanish National Securities Market Commission (*Comisión Nacional del Mercado de Valores*) (the **Annual Report 2017**). An English-language translation of the audited Annual Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2017, including the notes to such financial statements and the auditor's report, the Consolidated Management Report 2017 and the Annual Report on Corporate Governance of Repsol, S.A. have been filed with the Luxembourg *Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier* (CSSF) and, by virtue of this Supplement, are incorporated by reference in, and form part of, the Base Prospectus.

This Supplement also incorporates by reference certain regulatory announcements published by the Guarantor since 23 January 2018.

Documents incorporated by reference

Both the Issuer and the Guarantor consider advisable to incorporate by reference into the Base Prospectus via this Supplement the (i) audited Annual Consolidated Financial Statements of Repsol, S.A. and Investees composing the Repsol Group for the twelve-month period ended 31 December 2017 and (ii) certain regulatory announcements of the Guarantor; and therefore, pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive as implemented by Article 13 of Chapter I of Part II of the Luxembourg Act, to amend the **Section “DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE”** (pages 21 to 24 of the Base Prospectus) by the inclusion of the following documents to the list “**Information incorporated by reference**” (page 21 of the Base Prospectus) as new paragraphs (N) and (O). The information incorporated by reference that is not included in the cross-reference list, is considered as additional information and is not required by the relevant schedules of Commission Regulation (EC) 809/2004.

Information Incorporated by Reference	Page References
(L) The audited Consolidated Financial Statements, including the notes to such financial statements, the auditor’s report thereon, the Consolidated Management Report and the Annual Report on Corporate Governance of Repsol, S.A. and Investees composing the Repsol Group for the year ended 31 December 2017 (for the avoidance of doubt, the page references mentioned below are the PDF-pages of the Annual Report 2017):	
(a) <i>Auditors’ report on consolidated financial statements and Management Report</i>	4-15
(b) <i>Consolidated financial statements of Repsol, S.A. and Investees composing the Repsol Group</i>	16-118
- Consolidated balance sheet at 31 December 2017 and 2016	17
- Consolidated income statement for 31 December 2017 and 2016	18
- Consolidated statements of recognised profit or loss for the years ended 31 December 2017 and 2016.....	19
- Consolidated statements of changes in equity for the years ended 31 December 2017 and 31 December 2016	20
- Consolidated statement of cash flows for the years ended 31 December 2017 and 31 December 2016	21
- Notes to the 2017 consolidated financial statements	22-96
- Appendix I – Main companies comprising the Repsol Group at 31 December 2017	97-102
- Appendix Ib – Main changes in the consolidation scope for the year ended 31 December 2017	103
- Appendix Ib – Main changes in the scope of consolidation for the year ended 31 December 2016	104-105
- Appendix II – Joint operations of the Repsol Group at 31 December 2017.....	106-111
- Appendix III – Segment reporting and reconciliation with (IFRS-EU) financial statements.....	112-113
- Appendix IV – Regulatory framework	114-118
(c) <i>Consolidated Management Report 2017</i>	119-358
- 1. Summary of the main events	123-125
- 2. Our Company	126-133
- 3. Environment	134-137
- 4. Financial performance and shareholder remuneration	138-146
- 5. Performance of our businesses	147-169
- 6. Sustainability	170-199
- 7. Outlook.....	200-202

-	Appendix I: Alternative Performance Measures	204-211
-	Appendix II: Risk	212-220
-	Appendix III: GRI Indicators	221-247
-	Appendix IV: GRI-G4 Index.....	248-256
-	Appendix V: Table of conversions and abbreviations	257
-	Appendix VI: Annual Corporate Governance Report 2017	258-358
-	Ownership Structure.....	260-266
-	General Meeting	266-268
-	Management structure of the company	268-306
-	Related party and inter-company transactions	307-311
-	Risk control and management systems	312-317
-	Internal control systems and risk management over financial reporting (ICFR).....	317-337
-	Extent of compliance with corporate governance recommendations	337-353
-	Other information of interest	353-358
-	Auditors' report on the system of internal control over financial reporting	359-362
-	Independent assurance report on the sustainability information of the consolidated Management Report	363-364

(O) Regulatory announcements of the Guarantor:

-	Announcement dated 28 February 2018 related to information on oil and gas exploration and production activities	1-27
-	Announcement dated 28 February 2018 related to 4Q and Full year 2017 Results	1-38 ¹
-	Announcement dated 28 February 2018 related to the Report on payments to governments on oil and gas exploration and production activities	1-9
-	Announcement dated 22 February 2018 related to the announcement of the Guarantor's sale of its shares in Gas Natural	1

¹ (not including the cover page)

Important Notices

Both the Issuer and the Guarantor consider advisable, pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive as implemented by Article 13 of Chapter I of Part II of the Luxembourg Act, and in connection with Section “**IMPORTANT NOTICES**” to replace the information contained under subsection “**Alternative Performance Measures**” (pages 5 of the Base Prospectus) with the following information:

“The financial data incorporated by reference in this Base Prospectus, in addition to the conventional financial performance measures established by IFRS, contains certain alternative performance measures (such as adjusted net income, EBITDA etc.) (APMs) that are presented for the purposes of a better understanding of Repsol’s financial performance, cash flows and financial position, as these are used by Repsol when making operational or strategic decisions for the Group. The relevant metrics are identified as APMs and accompanied by an explanation of each such metric’s components and calculation method in “Appendix I: Alternative Performance Measures” to the “Consolidated Management Report 2017”, which is incorporated by reference in this Base Prospectus.

Such measures should not be considered as a substitute for those required by IFRS.”

Risk Factors

Both the Issuer and the Guarantor consider advisable, pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive as implemented by Article 13 of Chapter I of Part II of the Luxembourg Act, and in connection with Section “**RISK FACTORS**” to replace the information contained under subsection “**Risk factors relating to the Issuer and/or the Guarantor**” (pages 7 to 16, both inclusive, of the Base Prospectus) with the following information in order to provide with the information obtained from the latest published Consolidated Management Report of the Guarantor:

“Repsol’s operations and earnings are subject to risks as a result of changes in competitive, economic, political, legal, regulatory, social, industrial, business and financial conditions, such as those listed below.

Strategic and operational risks

Uncertainty in the current economic landscape

In the context of increasing global economic growth and improving perspectives, short term economic risks have been falling.

However, new risks have entered the equation: i) the protectionist pathway from Trump’s administration, which has already announced tariffs on steel and aluminum, can turn into a an all-out “trade war”; ii) the increasing social and political tensions as a result of an increasing dissatisfaction in part of society, giving greater support to populist or pro-independence parties.

So far, markets have shown a greater concern about the rise in protectionist measures, as they could damage the international trade recovery and generate uncertainty about which sectors will be subject to retaliatory measures.

Moreover, economic uncertainties remain in global markets, derived from difficulties in managing some economic transitions that are occurring simultaneously. First, monetary policy normalization in developed economies replacing non-conventional measures implementation that were issued for the first time. Despite the upturn in growth, inflation remains low and it is expected that it will normalize gradually. However, the impact on markets could be more abrupt due to the risky attitudes and excessive complacency from a context of high liquidity and low interest rates. Second, the need for China to move towards a new, more sustainable growth model, taking into account the country’s high level of indebtedness. Commodities cycle adjustment is the last transition.

OPEC and Non-OPEC production cut from 2017 are leading the supply/demand balance to achieve re-equilibrium. The average OPEC compliance reached 95% in 2017, and 82% among non-OPEC participating countries led by Russia. These figures are substantially higher than the commitment registered in previous cut agreements, that never went over 75%.

According to the last OPEC meeting in November the 30th 2017, production cuts are set to continue until the end of 2018. This is a key element for oil market equilibrium in 2018. Nonetheless, there are other elements bringing uncertainties to the market both in 2018 and beyond, that potentially could lead to a market distress and lower

prices. Among these: (i) Demand that is less than expected, especially in emerging countries; (ii) a higher response to higher prices than expected by unconventional supply, particularly in the United States; (iii) a lower commitment to production cuts among OPEC and non-OPEC countries; and (iv) easing of the production cuts in a disruptive way once the current agreement is over.

Climate change

Repsol is exposed to possible changes in the regulatory framework for greenhouse gas emissions arising from either our industrial operations or the use of our products.

Also, following the Paris Agreement, each country's commitments under its respective National Determined Contributions (NDC) will have a significant impact on climate policies. The agreement is undoubtedly another step toward a low-emissions economy in which a more sustainable model of company will be crucial.

Repsol's assets are subject to risks arising from physical changes caused by climate change, and risks deriving from the rising level of the sea, changes in precipitation patterns, changes in extreme temperatures or droughts, or even more frequent occurrence of extreme meteorological phenomena (cyclones, hurricanes, etc.). Repsol is present in areas that are liable to suffering these effects.

Further, a change in consumer behavior as they seek out less carbon-intensive products could also affect Repsol's competitiveness if it fails to adapt to these changes.

Repsol, and the oil and gas industry as a whole, is exposed to adverse trends of opinion that may affect the share price. Initiatives that promote disinvestment in fossil fuel extraction companies to reduce the impact of their products on climate change may affect the shareholding base of the company.

Repsol cannot predict the exact impact that the described risks may have on its activities, the income from its operations or the financial position of the Repsol Group, or its competitiveness.

Fluctuations in international prices of crude and reference products and demand due to factors beyond Repsol's control

World oil prices have fluctuated widely in recent years, and are driven by international supply and demand factors over which Repsol has no control.

The international prices of products are influenced by the price of crude oil and by demand for such products. Also, international prices of crude oil and of products impact the refining margin. International oil prices and demand for crude oil may also fluctuate significantly during economic cycles.

Reductions in oil prices adversely affect Repsol's profitability, the value of its assets and its plans for investment, which may be altered as a result of delays. Similarly, a significant drop in capital investment could negatively affect Repsol's ability to replace its crude oil reserves.

Regulatory and tax framework of Repsol's operations

The oil industry is subject to comprehensive state regulation and intervention. This is the case in Upstream activities, such as the award of exploration and production permits, the imposition of specific drilling and exploration obligations, restrictions on production, price controls, divestments of assets, foreign currency controls, and the nationalization, expropriation or cancellation of contractual rights.

Likewise, in Downstream, oil refining and petrochemical activities, in general, are subject to extensive government regulation and intervention in matters such as safety and environmental controls.

Also, the energy sector, particularly the oil industry, is subject to a unique tax framework. In Upstream activities, there are often energy taxes on profit and production, while in Downstream activities, taxes on consumption products are common.

Repsol cannot foresee the exact scope of changes to such laws or their interpretation, which could adversely affect its business, results and financial position.

Repsol is subject to extensive environmental and safety legislation and risks

Repsol is subject to a wide variety of environmental and safety legislation and regulations in every country where it operates. These regulations govern, among other matters, Repsol's operations, environmental quality standards for products, air emissions and climate change, energy efficiency, extractive technologies, water discharges, remediation of soil and groundwater and the generation, storage, transport, treatment and final disposal of waste materials and safety thereof.

Additionally, following the acquisition of ROGCI, the company increased its activity in non-convention hydrocarbons. From an environmental standpoint, concern over the environmental impact of exploring for and producing this type of resources could prompt governments and authorities to approve new regulations or impose new requirements on their development. If they do, it could have an adverse impact on the Company.

Repsol cannot predict the exact scope of the changes in environmental and safety regulations, or how they will be interpreted or if certain policies will be implemented. Any regulatory change could cause an adverse impact on the Repsol Group's operations, the income from its operations and financial position.

Operating risks of Repsol's activities

Repsol's activities are generally exposed to specific risks, many of them beyond Repsol's control. Such risks relate to production and facilities, transport, management of operations, supply of products and services, natural disasters, organizational and employee management.

Hydrocarbon exploration and production (Upstream): reliance on the cost-effective acquisition or discovery of, and, thereafter, development of, new oil and gas reserves.

Oil and gas exploration and production activities are subject to uncertainties relating to the physical characteristics of oil and natural gas fields and their dismantling.

Furthermore, exploration projects are complex in terms of their scale and are susceptible to delays in execution and cost overruns with respect to initially-approved budgets. In addition, some of the development projects are located in deep waters, mature areas and other difficult environments, such as the Gulf of Mexico, Alaska, the North Sea, Brazil and the Amazon rainforest, or in complex oilfields that could aggravate these risks further. It should also be noted that any form of transport of oil products always has inherent risks: by road, rail or sea transport, or by pipeline, oil or another hazardous substances could leak; this poses a significant risk due to the potential impact a spill could have on the environment and on people, especially considering the high volume of products that can be carried at any one time. Should these risks materialize, Repsol may suffer major losses, interruptions to its operations and harm to its reputation.

Moreover, Repsol must replace depleted oil and gas reserves with new proven reserves in a cost-effective manner for subsequent production to be economically viable. Repsol's ability to acquire or discover new reserves is, however, subject to a number of risks. For example, drilling may involve negative results, not only with respect to dry wells, but also with respect to wells that are productive but do not produce sufficient net revenues to return a profit after drilling, operating and other costs are taken into account. In addition, Repsol generally faces intense competition in bidding for exploratory blocks, in particular those blocks offering the most attractive potential reserves. Such competition may result in Repsol failing to obtain desirable production blocks, or otherwise acquiring them at a higher price, which could render subsequent production economically unviable.

If Repsol fails to acquire or discover, and, thereafter, develop new oil and gas reserves in a cost-effective manner, or if any of the aforementioned risks materializes, its business, results of operations and financial situation could be significantly and adversely affected.

Industrial businesses and marketing of oil products (Downstream)

Refining, Chemical, Trading, production, and distribution activities related to oil derivative products and LPG are exposed to the risk inherent to their activities, and are related to the products' specific characteristics (flammability and toxicity), their use (including that of clients), emissions resulting from the production process (such as greenhouse gas effects), as well as the materials and waste used (dangerous waste, as well as water and energy management), which might impact health, safety, and the environment. Repsol's industrial assets

(refineries, regasification plants, warehouses, ports, ducts, sea vessels, cistern trucks, service stations, etc.) are exposed to accidents such as fire, explosions, leaks of toxic products, as well as large-scale contaminating environmental incidents. Such accidents may cause death and injury to employees, contractors, residents in surrounding areas, as well as damage to the assets and property owned by Repsol as well as third parties.

Downstream activities take place in a highly competitive environment. Refining and commercialization margins may be affected by a number of factors, such as low demand arising from a deterioration of the economic situation in the countries where it operates, the high price of crude oil and other raw materials, trends in production-related energy costs and other commodities, excess refining capacity in Europe, and the growing competition from refineries in areas such as Russia, the Middle East, East Asia, and the US, where production costs are lower. Commercial businesses compete with international hydrocarbons industry operators as well as with other non-oil operators (supermarket chains as well as other commercial operators) to acquire or open service stations. Repsol service stations mainly compete based on price, service, and the availability of non-oil products.

If any of the above risks materialize, the Repsol's business, results of operations and financial position could be significantly and adversely affected

Location of reserves

Part of Repsol's oil and gas reserves are located in countries that are or could be economically or politically unstable.

Reserves in these areas as well as related production operations may be exposed to risks, including increases in taxes and royalties, the establishment of limits on production and export volumes, the compulsory renegotiation or cancellation of contracts, the nationalization or denationalization of assets, changes in local government regimes and policies, changes in business customs and practices, payment delays, currency exchange restrictions and losses and impairment of operations due to the attacks of armed groups. In addition, political changes may lead to changes in the business environment. Economic downturns, political instability or civil disturbances may disrupt the supply chain or limit sales in the markets affected by such events and affect the safety of employees and contractors.

If any of the above risks materializes, the Group's business, results of operations and financial situation could be significantly and adversely affected.

Estimations of oil and gas reserves

To estimate proved and unproved reserves and oil and gas resources, Repsol uses the criteria established by the SPE/ WPC/AAPG/SPEE Petroleum Resources Management System, commonly referred to by its acronym SPE-PRMS (SPE standing for Society of Petroleum Engineers).

The accuracy of these estimates depends on a number of different factors, including: development activities and operations, including drilling, production testing and studies. After the date of the estimate, the results of activities may entail substantial upward or downward corrections based on the quality of available geological, technical and economic data used - including changes in hydrocarbon prices - and their interpretation and evaluation. Moreover, the production performance of reservoirs and recovery rates depend significantly on available technologies as well as Repsol's ability to implement them.

Therefore, measurements of reserves are not precise and are subject to revision. The estimate of proven and unproven reserves of oil and gas will also be subject to correction due to errors in the application of published standards and changes in such standards. Any downward revision in estimated quantities of proven reserves could adversely impact company results, and would lead to increased depreciation, depletion and amortization charges and/or impairment charges, thus reducing earnings or shareholders' equity.

Projects and operations in joint ventures and partnerships

Many of the Repsol Group's projects and operations are conducted through joint ventures and partnerships. Where Repsol does not act as the operator, its ability to control and influence the performance and management of the operations, and to identify and manage risks is limited.

Additionally, any of Repsol's partners or another member in a joint venture or associated company may fail to comply with its financial obligations, or they may commit another breach that could affect a project's viability.

Acquisitions, investments and disposals

As part of Repsol's strategy, the company may engage in acquisitions, investments and disposals of ownership interests. There can be no assurance that Repsol will identify suitable acquisition opportunities, obtain the financing necessary to complete and support such acquisitions or investments, acquire businesses on satisfactory terms, or that any acquired business will prove to be profitable. In addition, acquisitions and investments involve a number of risks, including possible adverse effects on Repsol's operating income, risks associated with unanticipated events or liabilities relating to the acquired assets or businesses which may not have been disclosed during due diligence investigations, difficulties in the assimilation of the acquired operations, technologies, systems, services and products, and risks arising from provisions in contracts that are triggered by a change of control of an acquired company. In any business combination, Repsol's ability to reap the strategic benefits expected from the acquisition will depend on its ability to integrate equipment, processes and procedures and maintain existing relationships with its customers and partners.

Any failure to successfully integrate such acquisitions could have a material adverse effect upon Repsol's business, results of operations or financial position. Any disposal of an ownership interest may also adversely affect Repsol's financial position, if such disposal results in a loss.

Repsol's current insurance coverage may not be sufficient for all operational risks

Repsol holds insurance coverage against certain risks inherent to the oil and gas industry, in line with industry practice. Insurance coverage is subject to deductibles and limits that, in certain cases, may be significantly lower than its losses and/ or liabilities. In addition, Repsol's insurance policies contain exclusions that could leave the Group with limited coverage in certain circumstances, or indemnities may not be totally or partially collectible in case of insolvency of the insurers. Furthermore, Repsol may not be able to maintain adequate insurance at rates or on terms considered reasonable or acceptable, or be able to obtain insurance against certain risks that could materialize in the future. If the company experiences an incident against which it is not insured, or the costs of which materially exceed its coverage, it could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial position and results of operations.

Repsol's natural gas operations are subject to particular operational and market risks

Natural gas prices tend to vary between the different regions in which Repsol operates as a result of significantly different supply, demand and regulatory conditions, and such prices may be lower than current prices in other regions of the world. In addition, excess supply conditions that exist in some regions cannot be utilized in other regions due to a lack of infrastructure and difficulties in transporting natural gas.

In addition, Repsol has entered into long-term contracts to purchase and supply natural gas in various parts of the world. These contracts have different price formulas, which could result in higher purchase prices than the price at which such gas could be sold in increasingly liberalized markets. Furthermore, gas availability could be subject to the risk of counterparty breach of contractual obligations. Thus, it might be necessary to look for other sources of natural gas in the event of non-delivery from any of these sources, which could require payment of higher prices than those envisaged under the breached contracts.

Repsol also has long-term contracts to sell and deliver gas to customers, which present a different type of risk to the Group as they are pegged to existing proven reserves in these countries. Should such reserves in these countries prove insufficient, Repsol might not be able to satisfy its obligations under these contracts, some of which include penalty clauses for breach of contract.

The above risks may adversely affect Repsol's business, results and financial position.

Cyclical nature of petrochemical activity

The petrochemicals industry is subject to wide fluctuations in supply and demand, reflecting the cyclical nature of the chemicals market on a regional and global scale. These fluctuations affect the prices and profitability of petrochemicals companies, including Repsol. Repsol's petrochemicals business is also subject to extensive

governmental regulation and intervention in such matters as safety and environmental controls. Any fluctuations and changes in regulations may have an adverse effect on the Repsol's business, financial position and results of operations.

Repsol Group's strategy requires efficiency and innovation in a highly competitive market

The oil, gas and petrochemical industry operates in the context of a highly competitive energy sector. This competition influences the conditions for accessing markets or following new business leads, the costs of licenses and the pricing and marketing of products.

The implementation of the Group's strategy requires a significant ability to anticipate and adapt to the market and continuous investment in technological advances and innovation.

The Repsol Group is subject to the effects of administrative, judicial and arbitration proceedings

The Repsol Group is subject to the effects of administrative, judicial and arbitration proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, in relation to which it is unable to predict the scope, subject-matter or outcome. Any present or future litigation involves a high degree of uncertainty and, therefore, the resolution of these disputes could affect the business, results or financial position of the Repsol Group.

Information technology and its reliability and robustness are a key factor in maintaining our operations

The reliability and security of the Group's information technology (IT) systems are critical to maintaining the availability of its business processes and the confidentiality and integrity of the data owned by the company and by third parties. Given that cyber-attacks are constantly increasing, the Repsol Group cannot guarantee that it will not suffer economic or/and material losses in the future as a result of such attacks.

Misconduct or violations of applicable legislation by our employees can damage the reputation of the Repsol Group

Repsol's Ethics and Conduct Code, which is mandatory for all Repsol directors, executives and employees, regardless of the type of contracting governing their professional or employment relationship, establishes the overall framework for understanding and putting into practice the conduct and expectations the company places in each of them, in line with the principles of loyalty to the company, good faith, integrity and respect for the law and the ethical values defined by the Group.

The Company's diverse models of compliance and control include controls aimed at detecting and mitigating significant aspects of compliance, such as improper conduct or breach of applicable regulations. Their occurrence could cause reputational damage to the Company, while resulting in sanctions and legal liability.

Repsol is exposed to negative opinion trends which could have an adverse impact on its image and reputation, thereby affecting its business opportunities

The company carries on its operations in multiple environments with diverse stakeholders, which are mainly local communities in the areas of influence of its operations, as well as local and national civil, political, labor, and consumer organizations, among others.

Should the interests of the above groups be contrary to the Company's activities, and attempts to reach agreements prove unsuccessful, Repsol may be affected by the publication of biased or manipulated information that generates opinion contrary to the company's activities.

This could result in an adverse impact on the social or media acceptance of Repsol's activities, leading to erosion of the Company's image as well as loss business opportunities in the area or country in question, with potential adverse effects on its business, financial position, and the result of its operations.

Financial risks

Repsol has a risk management structure and systems that enable it to identify, measure and control the financial risks to which the Group is exposed. Note 9 "Financial risks" in the Guarantor's audited consolidated financial

statements analyzes the exposure to those risks and measures the impact they may have on those financial statements.

The main financial risks are described below:

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is associated to the ability of the Group to finance its obligations at reasonable market prices, as well as to carry out its business plans with stable financing sources.

In the case that Repsol were unable to meet its needs for liquidity in the future or had to incur increased costs to meet them, this could have an adverse effect on its business, financial position and results of operations.

Credit risk

Credit risk is defined as the possibility of a third party not complying with his contractual obligations, thus creating losses for the Group.

The Group's credit risk exposure mainly relates to trade accounts payable, which are measured and controlled by individual client or third party. To this end, the Group has its own systems, in line with best practices, for constantly monitoring the creditworthiness of all its debtors and for determining the risk limits of third parties.

As a general rule, the Group considers a bank guarantee issued by financial entities to be the most suitable instrument of protection from credit risk. In some cases, the Group has taken out credit insurance policies to partially transfer to third parties the credit risk related to the trade of some of its businesses.

The Group also has exposure to counterparty risk arising from non-trade contractual operations that may lead to defaults. In these cases, the Group also analyzes the solvency of counterparties with which it maintains or could maintain nontrade contractual relations. Any breach of payment obligations by Repsol's customers and counterparties, in the agreed time frame and form, could have an adverse effect on Repsol's business, results or financial position.

Credit rating risk

Credit rating agencies regularly rate the Group, and their ratings are based on external factors, such as the conditions that affect the oil & gas sector, the general state of the economy and the performance of the financial markets.

Credit ratings affect the cost and other conditions under which the Repsol Group is able to obtain finance. Any downgrade in Repsol S.A.'s credit rating could restrict or limit the access of the Group to financial markets, increase the cost of any new finance, and have a negative effect on its liquidity.

Market risks

The Repsol Group is exposed to various types of market risk: exchange rate, commodity price and interest rate risk, which are described below:

• **Exchange rate fluctuation risk:** Changes in exchange rates may adversely affect Repsol's operational result and the value of its assets.

In general, this exposure to exchange rate risk stems from the existence in the Group companies of assets, liabilities and cash flows denominated in a currency other than the company's functional currency, with particular emphasis on the fact that: (i) Cash flows generated by oil, natural gas and refined product sales are generally denominated in United States dollars, (ii) A large portion of Repsol's assets and investments are also denominated in United States dollars.

Furthermore, it should be borne in mind that: (i) Cash flows from transactions carried out in the countries in which Repsol conducts its activities are exposed to fluctuations in currency exchange rates of the respective local currencies against the major currencies in which the commodities used as reference for the fixing of prices in the

local currency are traded, (ii) Repsol's consolidated financial statements are expressed in euros and, consequently, the assets and liabilities of subsidiary investee companies with a different functional currency are translated into euros.

To mitigate exchange rate risks, and it deems appropriate, Repsol carries out investments or transactions in those currencies in which foreign exchange risk exposures have been identified and it can hedge the risk with derivative financial instruments in currencies where there is a liquid market and reasonable transaction costs.

Notes 9 "Financial risks" and 8 "Derivative and other transactions" in the Group's consolidated financial statements include additional details on the financial risks described in this section and the hedging transactions performed.

• **Commodity price risk:** In the normal course of operations and trading activities, the earnings of the Repsol Group are exposed to volatility in the price of oil, natural gas, and related derivative products (see the risk factors titled "Fluctuations in crude oil and reference products' international prices and demand owing to factors beyond Repsol's control" and "Repsol's natural gas operations are subject to particular operational and market risks" above). Note 8 "Derivative and other transactions" in the Group's consolidated financial statements include additional details on the financial risks described in this section.

• **Interest rate risk:** The market value of the Group's net financing and net interest expenses could be affected as a consequence of changes in interest rates which could affect interest income and expenses of financial assets and liabilities tied to floating interest rates and the fair value of financial assets and liabilities tied to a fixed rate. Fluctuations in interest rates may also affect the value of assets and liabilities due to a change in the applicable cash flow discount rate, investments' profitability and the future cost of raising funds.

To mitigate interest rate risks, and when it deems appropriate, Repsol can hedge the risk with derivative financial instruments where there is a liquid market and with reasonable transaction costs.

Notes 9 "Financial risks" and 8 "Derivative and other transactions" in the Group's consolidated financial statements include additional details on the financial risks described in this section and the hedging transactions performed."

Information on the Guarantor and the Group – Recent Developments

Both the Issuer and the Guarantor consider advisable, pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive as implemented by Article 13 of Chapter I of Part II of the Luxembourg Act, and in relation to **Section "INFORMATION ON THE GUARANTOR AND THE GROUP"** under the heading "**Recent Developments**", on page 36 of the Base Prospectus, to add the following information:

"On February 22, 2018, the Guarantor announced that it had reached an agreement to sell 200,858,658 shares of Gas Natural SDG, S.A. ("Gas Natural") to Rioja Bidco Shareholders S.L.U. The shares represent approximately 20.72% of the share capital of Gas Natural, for a total consideration of EUR 3,816,314,502. Closing of the share sale is subject to the fulfilment of certain closing conditions."

Business segments and organisational structure – Guarantor

Both the Issuer and the Guarantor consider advisable, pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive as implemented by Article 13 of Chapter I of Part II of the Luxembourg Act, and in relation to **Section "INFORMATION ON THE GUARANTOR AND THE GROUP"** under the heading "**Board of Directors, Senior Management and Employees**", to replace the table on pages 41-42 related to the "*Board of Directors*" with the following information:

"Board of Directors

As of the date of this Base Prospectus, the members of the Board of Directors of the Guarantor are as follows:

	<i>Position</i>
Antonio Brufau Niubó.....	<i>Chairman and Director</i>
Gonzalo Gortázar Rotaache ⁽¹⁾	<i>First Vice-Chairman and Director</i>

	<i>Position</i>
<i>Manuel Manrique Cecilia</i> ⁽²⁾	<i>Second Vice-Chairman and Director</i>
<i>Josu Jon Imaz San Miguel</i>	<i>CEO and Director</i>
<i>Maria Teresa Ballester Fornés</i>	<i>Director</i>
<i>Artur Carulla Font</i>	<i>Director</i>
<i>Luis Carlos Croissier Batista</i>	<i>Director</i>
<i>Rene Dahan</i> ⁽³⁾	<i>Director</i>
<i>Ángel Durández Adeva</i>	<i>Director</i>
<i>Jordi Gual Solé</i> ⁽¹⁾	<i>Director</i>
<i>José Manuel Loureda Mantiñán</i> ⁽²⁾	<i>Director</i>
<i>Mariano Marzo Carpio</i>	<i>Director</i>
<i>Isabel Torremocha Ferrezuelo</i>	<i>Director</i>
<i>J. Robinson West</i>	<i>Director</i>
<i>Luis Suárez de Lezo Mantilla</i>	<i>Director and Secretary of the Board of Directors</i>

(1) Nominated for membership by CaixaBank, S.A.

(2) Nominated for membership by Sacyr, S.A.

(3) Nominated for membership by Temasek”

Information on the Guarantor and the Group - Share capital and Major Shareholders

Both the Issuer and the Guarantor consider advisable, pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive as implemented by Article 13 of Chapter I of Part II of the Luxembourg Act, and in relation to **Section “INFORMATION ON THE GUARANTOR AND THE GROUP”** to replace the information contained under subsection **“Shareholder Capital and Major Shareholders”** (pages 42-43 of the Base Prospectus), with the following information:

“As at December 31, 2017, the Guarantor’s share capital is comprised of 1,527,396,053 shares at a nominal value of €1 per share, fully subscribed and paid, and admitted to listing on the Madrid, Barcelona, Bilbao and Valencia stock exchanges and on the Buenos Aires Stock Exchange. The Guarantor also has a programme of American Depositary Shares (ADSs) which are currently traded on the OTCQX in the United States.

Following the Guarantor’s most recent bonus share issue in January 2018, the share capital of the Guarantor is 1,556,464,965 shares at the date of this Prospectus Supplement.

According to the latest information available at the date of authorization of the Guarantor’s recently-published Consolidated Financial Statements, the Guarantor’s significant shareholders are:

<u>Significant shareholders</u>	<u>total % of share capital</u>
CaixaBank, S.A.	9.5
Sacyr, S.A. ⁽¹⁾	7.9
Temasek Holdings (Private) Limited ⁽²⁾	4.0
Blackrock, Inc. ⁽³⁾	4.5

(1) Sacyr, S.A. retains its shareholding via Sacyr Securities, S.A.U, Sacyr Investments S.A.U. and Sacyr Investments II, S.A.U.

(2) Temasek holds its investment through its subsidiary, Chembra Investment PTE, Ltd.

(3) Blackrock, Inc. holds its investment through a number of controlled entities.”

Information on the Guarantor - Legal and Arbitration Proceedings

Both the Issuer and the Guarantor consider advisable, pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive as implemented by Article 13 of Chapter I of Part II of the Luxembourg Act, and in connection with **Section “INFORMATION ON THE GUARANTOR AND THE GROUP”** to replace the information contained under subsection **“Legal and Arbitration Proceedings”** (pages 43 to 49 of the Base Prospectus) with the following information in order to provide with the information obtained from the latest published financial statements of the Guarantor:

“The Repsol Group companies are party to legal and arbitration proceedings arising in the ordinary course of their business activities. The most significant legal or arbitration proceedings and their status at the date of this Base Prospectus are summarized below.

United Kingdom

Addax arbitration in relation to the purchase of Talisman Energy (UK) Limited (TSEUK)

On July 13, 2015, Addax Petroleum UK Limited (“Addax”) and Sinopec International Petroleum Exploration and Production Corporation (“Sinopec”) filed a “Notice of Arbitration” against Talisman Energy Inc. (now known as “ROGCI”) and Talisman Colombia Holdco Limited (“TCHL”) in connection with the purchase of 49% of the shares of TSEUK (now known as “RSRUK”, see Note 12). ROGCI and TCHL filed their response to the Notice of Arbitration on October 1. On May 25, 2016, Addax and Sinopec filed the Statement of Claim, in which they seek, in the event that their claims were confirmed in their entirety, repayment of their initial investment in RSRUK, which was executed in 2012 through the purchase of 49% of RSRUK from TCHL, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ROGCI, together with any additional investment, past or future, in such company, and further for any loss of opportunity, and which they estimate in a total approximate amount of \$5,500 million. The court of arbitration has decided, among other procedural matters, to split the proceedings into two phases: the hearing on liability issues which took place from January 29 to February 20, 2018, and, if necessary, the hearing on the assessment of any damages will take place later, at an as yet unspecified date – it is estimated that this would be early 2019. Repsol maintains its opinion that the claims included in the Statement of Claim are without merit.

“Galley” pipeline lawsuit

In August 2012, the Galley pipeline, in which RSRUK has a 67.41% interest, suffered an upheaval buckle.

In September 2012, RSRUK filed a claim seeking coverage of the damages and losses sustained as a result of the incident from the insurance company Oleum Insurance Company (“Oleum”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of ROGCI, which in turn owns 51% of RSRUK. In July 2014, RSRUK presented Oleum with a \$351 million claim for property damage and business disruption.

To date, the documentation delivered by RSRUK in support of its claim has proven insufficient to conclude on the existence of coverage under the policy.

RSRUK filed a request for arbitration on August 8, 2016, which will take place in London and the law governing the merits of the case will be the law of the State of New York.

On June 2017, the Court, at the parties' proposal, the division of the procedure into two phases (liability and quantum, as applicable) was approved, as was the preliminary hearing for matters to be addressed in the first phase, in two weeks (between February, 19 and March, 2 2018).

United States of America

The Passaic River / Newark Bay lawsuit

The events underlying this litigation related to the sale by Maxus Energy Corporation (“Maxus”) of its former chemicals subsidiary, Diamond Shamrock Chemical Company (“Chemicals”) to Occidental Chemical Corporation (“OCC”). Maxus agreed to indemnify Occidental for certain environmental contingencies relating to the business and activities of Chemicals prior to September 4, 1986. After that (1995), Maxus was acquired by YPF S.A. (“YPF”) and after that (1999), Repsol, S.A. acquired YPF.

In December 2005, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (“DEP”) and the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund (together, the “State of New Jersey”) sued Repsol YPF S.A. (today called Repsol, S.A., hereinafter, “Repsol”), YPF, YPF Holdings Inc. (“YPFH”); CLH holdings (“CLHH”); Tierra Solutions, Inc. (“Tierra”), Maxus and OCC for the alleged contamination caused by the old Chemicals plant which allegedly contaminated the Passaic River, Newark Bay and other bodies of water and properties in the vicinity.

On September 26, 2012 OCC lodged a Second Amended Cross Claim (the “Cross Claim”) against Repsol, YPF, Maxus, Tierra and CLHH (all of which together “the Defendants”). Between June 2013 and August 2014, the Defendants signed different agreements with the State of New Jersey, in which they do not acknowledge liability and through certain payments in exchange for the withdrawal by the State of New Jersey of its proceedings against them.

On January 29, 2015 the judge ruled on certain Motions to Dismiss submitted by the Defendants against Cross Claim, dismissing, in full or in part, without scope for re-admission, ten of the twelve claims presented by OCC.

On January 14, 2016 the Special Master issued her recommendations on these Motions, allowing the ones submitted by Repsol in relation to its characterization as alter ego to Maxus and rejecting OCC’s against Repsol’s claim vis-a-

vis OCC in respect of the \$65 million paid pursuant to the agreement with the State of New Jersey.

On April 5, 2016 the Presiding Judge decided to dismiss OCC's suit against Repsol in full. The decision can be appealed. On June 16, 2016, the Special Master agreed to hear the Motion for Summary Judgment presented by Repsol with regard to its claim against OCC for the \$65 million paid as part of the settlement reached with the State of New Jersey. On January 30, 2017, OCC appealed against the recommendation of the Special Master. On June 17, 2016, Maxus filed for bankruptcy protection before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, also seeking release from its main litigation liability, a petition the Court must rule on. On October 19, 2017, the judge decided to fully uphold the recommendations of the special master and, thus, uphold the motion for summary judgment presented by Repsol in relation to its claim against OCC for \$65 million. On November 22, 2017, OCC was formally condemned to pay the \$65 million plus interest and expenses. On January 8, 2018, Maxus (assuming right of ownership of the claim on behalf of OCC) and OCC announced the formal submission of an appeal against these rulings.

Spain

In February 2017, four decisions were handed down by the Supreme Court upholding the lower court rulings and a prior decision by the Supreme Court itself recognizing the right of Repsol Butano, S.A. to compensation for losses and damages caused by the formula for determining the maximum price of regulated LPG containers set out in the Order ITC/2608/2009 of September 28 that was overturned by the decision by the Supreme Court of June 19, 2012, plus legal interest accrued (see Note 20.3 of the Guarantor's Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2017).

Government and legal proceedings with tax implications

In accordance with prevailing tax legislation, tax returns cannot be considered final until they have been inspected by the tax authorities or until the inspection period in each tax jurisdiction has expired.

The years for which the Group companies have their tax returns open to inspection in respect of the main applicable taxes are as follows:

Country	Years open to inspection
Algeria	2013 — 2017
Australia	2013 — 2017
Bolivia	2012 — 2017
Canada	2010 — 2017
Colombia	2012 — 2017
Ecuador	2014 — 2017
Spain	2014 — 2017
United States	2014 — 2017
Indonesia	2012 — 2017
Libya	2010 — 2017
Malaysia	2013 — 2017
Netherlands	2016 — 2017
Norway	2015 — 2017
Papua New Guinea	2014 — 2017
Peru	2013 — 2017
Portugal	2014 — 2017
United Kingdom	2011 — 2017
Singapore	2013 — 2017
Trinidad and Tobago	2013 — 2017
Venezuela	2011 — 2017

Whenever discrepancies arise between Repsol and the tax authorities with respect to the tax treatment applicable to certain operations, the Group acts with the authorities in a transparent and cooperative manner in order to resolve the resulting controversy, using the legal avenues at its disposition with a view to reaching non-litigious solutions. However, in this fiscal year, as in prior years, there are administrative and legal proceedings with tax implications that might be adverse to the Group's interest and that have given rise to litigious situations that could result in contingent tax liabilities. Repsol believes that it has acted lawfully in handling the foregoing matters and that its defense arguments are underpinned by reasonable interpretations of prevailing legislation, to which end it has lodged appeals as necessary to defend the interests of the Group and its shareholders.

It is difficult to predict when these tax proceedings will be resolved due to the extensive appeals process. Based on the advice received from in-house and external tax experts, the Company believes that the tax liabilities that may ultimately derive from these proceedings will not have a significant impact on the accompanying Financial Statements. In the Group's experience, the result of lawsuits claiming sizeable amounts have either tended to result in immaterial settlements or the courts have found in favour of the Group.

The Group's general criterion is to recognize provisions (see Note 13 of the Guarantor's Consolidated Financial Statements) for tax-related proceedings that it deems it is likely to lose and does not recognize provisions when the risk of losing the case is considered possible or remote. The amounts to be provisioned are calculated on the basis of the best estimate of the amount needed to settle the lawsuit in question, underpinned, among others, by a case-by-case analysis of the facts, the legal opinions of its in-house and external advisors and prior experience in these matters. At December 31, 2017, the Group recognized provisions to cover contingencies associated with lawsuits and other tax matters in the Group's consolidated balance statement for the sum of €1,415 million (€1,376 million at December 31, 2016), which are considered adequate to cover those contingencies.

As for the main tax proceedings affecting the Group at 31 December 2017 are as follows:

Bolivia

Repsol E&P Bolivia, S.A. and YPFB Andina, S.A. are pursuing several lawsuits against administrative resolutions denying the possibility of deducting royalties and hydrocarbon interests for corporate income tax calculation purposes, prior to the nationalization of the oil sector.

The first lawsuits of Repsol E&P Bolivia, S.A. and YPFB Andina, S.A. were resolved unfavorably by the Supreme Court and upheld by the Constitutional Court.

The Company is involved in other lawsuits for the same matters, considering that its position is expressly endorsed by Law 4115 of September 26, 2009.

Brazil

Petrobras, as operator of block BM S 9, in which Repsol has a 25% ownership interest, has been served by the Sao Paulo tax authorities of an infraction notice in relation to purported breaches of formal requirements related to the onshore-offshore movement of materials and equipment from/to the offshore drilling platform. The criterion adopted by Petrobras is in line with widespread industry practice. A court of first instance ruled in favor of the taxpayer, although it is expected that the State of Sao Paulo will lodge an appeal.

Secondly, Petrobras, as operator of the Albacora Leste, BMS-7 and BMS-9 consortia, has received notices with respect to several taxes for the period 2008 to 2012 in relation to payments to foreign companies for the chartering of exploration platforms and related services used at the above-listed blocks. All notices have been appealed and are in administrative tribunals (2009-2012) or are being appealed (2008).

In addition, Repsol Sinopec Brasil (see Note 12) received notices with respect to withholdings (2009 and 2011) in relation to payments to foreign companies for the chartering of exploration vessels and related services used at blocks BMS 48 and BMC 33, which Repsol Sinopec Brasil operates. The administrative federal tribunal of second instance has ruled against the Company; however, it believes its actions are within the law and its behavior is in line with widespread sector practice; therefore, it has launched a new appeal with the administrative tribunals.

In relation to the last two lawsuits, Law 13586/17 was recently approved and published, by virtue of which it is possible to reduce the disputed amount quite substantially, provided that the taxpayer refrains from fighting the lawsuits in question. The Company has requested to take advantage of this new regulation requesting the termination of the processes related to the contractual structure for contracting platforms in the part related to the withholdings.

Canada

The Canada Revenue Agency, or CRA, has disallowed the application of tax incentives related to the assets of the Canaport project. The company filed appeals against the inspection assessments (2005-2008). Canada's Tax Court ruled in favor of Repsol on January 27, 2015. However, this decision was appealed before the Federal Court of Appeal, which, in September 2017, ruled in favor of Repsol. As the decision has not been appealed, it is now final.

Furthermore, the CRA regularly inspects the ROGCI companies (formerly Talisman Group companies, acquired by Repsol in 2015) resident in Canada. In 2017, the audit corresponding to the period from 2006 to 2009 was concluded satisfactorily. Tax years 2010 to 2015 are currently subject to inspection.

Ecuador

The Ecuador Internal Revenue Service (SRI) has disallowed the deduction from income tax (2003 to 2010) of payments for the transportation of crude oil to Ecuador company Oleoducto de Crudos Pesados, S.A. under a "Ship or Pay" arrangement. The National Court of Justice has dismissed the appeals regarding 2003 to 2005 on procedural grounds, without addressing the merits of the case.

The SRI has also called into question, for the years 2004 to 2010, the criteria used to set the benchmark price applicable to sales of production from the Block 16, in which Repsol Ecuador, S.A. holds a 35% interest. The National Court of Justice has dismissed the appeal regarding 2005 on procedural grounds, without addressing the merits of the case. As a result, the government of Ecuador has been notified that an international arbitration action may be lodged.

In addition, Oleoducto de Crudos Pesados, S.A. (OCP), a 29.66% investee of Repsol Ecuador, S.A., is disputing with the government of Ecuador the tax treatment of subordinated debt issued to finance its operations. The National Court handed down a favorable ruling for this company, which the authorities appealed before the Constitutional Court. The Constitutional Court has rendered the ruling null and ordered a new ruling. The government also dismissed the National Court members who ruled in favor of the company. Later, the National Court issued rulings in favor of the interests of SRI in respect of the 2003 to 2006 fiscal years. OCP's appeals to the Constitutional Court were dismissed. The government of Ecuador has been notified that an international arbitration action may be lodged.

Spain

In 2013, the main proceedings over income tax for inspections of the years 1998 to 2001 and 2002 to 2005 came to an end. The corresponding decisions and rulings had the effect of canceling 90% of the tax liability initially assessed by the Spanish tax authorities (AEAT) and that had been appealed by the Company. With regard to the penalties linked to those inspections, they have been canceled by the Courts for the large part.

In addition, with regard to the inspection of the years 2006 to 2009, the principal matters under discussion in terms of the audit are mainly related to transfer pricing, foreign portfolio loss recognition, and investment incentives, and they involve a change in the tax authority's criteria with respect to earlier inspections. Recently, a ruling has been received from the Central Economic Administrative Tribunal (TEAC) that partially upheld the Company's appeal in relation to some income tax issues included in the assessments and in the sanctions decisions of the years 2007-2009. An administrative appeal has been filed before the National High Court on issues that have not been upheld by the TEAC. The assessment for the year 2006 and the assessment that contains adjustment of transfer prices of the 2007-2009 period are suspended, as a conflict was brought before the Arbitration Board of the Basque Economic Agreement.

The Company believes it has acted within the law, based on the reports provided by its internal and external tax advisors and other experts consulted. As a result, no liabilities are expected to arise that might have a significant impact on the Group's income.

In August 2017, the Spanish tax authorities completed the tax audit corresponding to period from 2010 to 2013. These activities have been completed without any sanctions being imposed and, for the large part, in the issuance of declarations of conformity concerning Corporate Income Tax, VAT, personal income tax withholdings and non-resident personal income tax withholdings, for which no significant liabilities have been incurred by the Group. However, in terms of the deductibility of interest for the late payment of taxes and the calculation of losses on overseas business corresponding to Corporate Income Tax, the administrative decision has been subject to appeal, as the Company believes it has acted within the law.

The AEAT also started audit activities on Tax Group 6/80 concerning the 2014 and 2015 tax years in August.

In relation to the sentence issued by the European Union Court of Justice on February 27, 2014, declaring the Tax on the Retail Sale of Certain Hydrocarbons (IVMDH for its acronym in Spanish), levied from 2002 to 2012, contrary to EU law, Repsol has initiated several proceedings against the Spanish tax authorities in order to uphold the interests of its customers and their right to seek the refund of the amounts incorrectly collected in this respect.

Indonesia

Indonesian Corporate Tax Authorities have been questioning various aspects of the taxation of permanent establishments that Talisman Group has in the country. These proceedings are pending a court hearing or administrative appeal.

Malaysia

Repsol Oil & Gas Malaysia Ltd. and Repsol Oil & Gas Malaysia (PM3) Ltd., the Group's operating subsidiaries in Malaysia, have received notifications from the Inland Revenue Board (IRB) in respect of the years 2007, 2008 and 2011 questioning, primarily, the deductibility of certain costs. The aforementioned actions have resulted in a reconciliation agreement currently pending ratification by the tax court, under which Repsol subsidiaries will receive a refund of the taxes initially retained by the IRB."

General information

Both the Issuer and the Guarantor consider advisable, pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive as implemented by Article 13 of Chapter I of Part II of the Luxembourg Act, to delete paragraph (2) in the **Section "GENERAL INFORMATION"** on page 101 of the Base Prospectus replace it with the following text to take into account the publication and incorporation by reference into the Base Prospectus of the Annual Consolidated Financial Statements of Repsol, S.A. and investees composing the Repsol Group for the year ended 31 December 2017:

"To the best of the knowledge of the Issuer, there has been no material adverse change in its prospects since 31 December 2016 (being the date of the last published audited financial statements) nor has there been any significant change in the financial or trading position of the Issuer and its consolidated subsidiaries since 31 December 2016.

To the best of the knowledge of the Guarantor, there has been no material adverse change in its prospects since 31 December 2017 (being the date of the last published audited financial statements), nor has there been any significant change in the financial or trading position of the Group, since 31 December 2017."

Furthermore, both the Issuer and the Guarantor consider advisable, pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive as implemented by Article 13 of Chapter I of Part II of the Luxembourg Act, to insert the following as paragraph (5)(xii) in the **Section "GENERAL INFORMATION"** on page 102 of the Base Prospectus to take into account the publication and incorporation by reference into the Base Prospectus of the Annual Consolidated Financial Statements of Repsol, S.A. and investees composing the Repsol Group for the year ended 31 December 2017:

"the Annual Financial Report 2017 of Repsol, including the audited consolidated financial statements for the financial year ended 31 December 2017, which were prepared in accordance with EU-IFRS, together with the notes to such financial statements and the audit report thereon."

Finally, both the Issuer and the Guarantor consider advisable, pursuant to Article 16 of the Prospectus Directive as implemented by Article 13 of Chapter I of Part II of the Luxembourg Act, to delete paragraph (6)(i) in the **Section "GENERAL INFORMATION"** on page 102 of the Base Prospectus and replace it with the following text to take into account the publication and incorporation by reference into the Base Prospectus of the Annual Consolidated Financial Statements of Repsol, S.A. and investees composing the Repsol Group for the year ended 31 December 2017:

"(i) the consolidated financial statements of the Guarantor and its subsidiaries for the years ended 31 December 2017 and 2016 have been audited by Deloitte, S.L. (members of the Registro Oficial de Auditores de Cuentas), Independent Auditors of the Group. The address of Deloitte, S.L. is Plaza Pablo Ruiz de Picasso, 1, Torre Picasso, 28020 Madrid, Spain."

Save as disclosed in this Supplement, no other significant new factor, material mistake or inaccuracy relating to information included in the Base Prospectus which is capable of affecting the assessment of the Notes issued under the Programme has been noted or, to the best of the knowledge of the Issuer and the Guarantor, has arisen, as the case may be, since the publication of the Base Prospectus.

To the extent there is any inconsistency between (a) any statement in this Supplement or any statement incorporated by reference into the Base Prospectus by virtue of this Supplement and (b) any other statement, pre-dating this Supplement, in, or incorporated by reference into, the Base Prospectus, the statements in (a) above shall prevail.

As long as any of the Notes are outstanding, this Supplement and each document incorporated by reference into the Base Prospectus via this Supplement will be available for inspection, free of charge, at the offices of the Issuer at Koninginnegracht 19, 2514 AB The Hague, The Netherlands during normal business hours and on the

website of the Luxembourg Stock Exchange at www.bourse.lu. In addition, copies of the documents incorporated by reference referred to above can be obtained from the website of the Issuer at http://www.repsol.com/es_en/corporacion/accionistas-inversores/informacion-financiera/financiacion/repsol-international-finance/programa-emision-continua.aspx.